

Phytochemical and Biological Activities of the Wild Grape Fruit Extracts Using Different Solvents

Boonsod Yardpiroon¹, Saengdee Aphidech² and Srihanam Prasong^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Center of Excellence for Innovation in Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Maharakham University, Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand

²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Maharakham University, Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand

ABSTRACT

Aims: To investigate the phytochemical, antioxidant and antibacterial activities of the solvent extracts of wild grape fruits in different colors.

Study design: The solvent extracts of wild grape (*Ampelocissus martinii* Planch.) fruits were prepared by using soxhlet extractor, before investigation of phytochemical and biological activities.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Maharakham University, Thailand, between August 2012 and May 2013.

Methodology: All extracts were investigated for their total phenolic (TPC) and flavonoid contents (TFC) by Folin-Ciocalteu and colorimetric aluminum chloride assays, respectively as well as antioxidant activity using 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays. They also tested for their antibacterial activity against infective bacteria using agar well diffusion method.

Results: Methanolic extracts showed the highest of TPC comparison to other. The methanolic extract from green wild grape has the highest of TPC, followed by ethanolic extract. The ethanolic extracts of red and black wild grape fruits found the highest of TFC whereas the green wild grape using methanol showed the highest TFC. All methanolic extracts showed the lowest of IC₅₀ values when compared to other solvents in the same color. Among them, the methanolic extract from green wild grape has the lowest of IC₅₀ values which considered to be the highest powerful of antioxidant activity. The obtained results were directly trend with the FRAB values. However, the ethanolic extract showed antioxidant activity similar as the methanolic extract. The methanolic extract from green wild grape showed good antibacterial activity. All ethanolic extracts showed widely and similarly inhibition of selected bacteria, but no activity in all water extracts. The MIC and MBC of all extracts were arranged of 500-250 µg/mL.

Conclusion: Methanol and ethanol should be used as good solvent extraction of wild grape fruits to obtain high TPC and TFC and good biological activities.

Keywords: wild grape, solvent, phenolic, flavonoid, biological activities

1. INTRODUCTION

In last decade, the study of free radical and they affect on human health has been increased since this free radical involved directly on living system damage, especially degenerative

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

23 diseases [1,2]. Various kinds of diseases were occurred by the condition called “oxidative
24 stress”. This stress was caused from existence of free radical [3]. It is well known that
25 oxidative stress can be treated by antioxidant substances [4]. Several studies have shown
26 that plants phytochemical could be used as therapeutically benefit for treatment of diseases
27 [5,6]. Generally, plants produce various secondary metabolites including phenols, flavonoids,
28 quinines, tannins, alkaloids, saponins and sterols [7]. Those of metabolites are being used
29 as pharmaceutical drugs [8-10]. Recently, natural phytochemical have been interested to
30 explore and apply to instead of synthetic drugs [11]. The phytochemical of dietary and non-
31 dietary are reported to modulate different kinds of degenerative and chronic diseases [12-
32 14]. In the past, plants have been used as herbal materials for treatment infection diseases
33 [15]. The plant-based drugs have been shown as few side effects, cheap and easy
34 availability [16]. Plants are known as a large source of natural phytochemical which
35 contained of biological activities [17-19]. Natural antimicrobial components in plants have
36 been proved to inhibit the growth of bacteria [16,20]. This activity has going to be new hot
37 spots for pharmacological studies in the following years [21]. In recent, several kinds of plant
38 containing pharmacological substances have been studied and characterized, especially
39 medicinal herbs [22-25]. To investigate of plant phytochemical, an important step is
40 extraction process. This step is related to the content activity as well as chemical structure of
41 substances [26]. Solvent extraction has been used for preparation plants extract [27].
42 Previously, various solvent such as hexane, methanol, isopropanol and ethyl acetate have
43 been applied for extraction of phytochemical [28].

44 Wild grape (*Ampelocissus martinii* Planch.) is generally found in Thailand. It is a
45 traditional herb ingredient and has been used for a long history. The stem and fruits of wild
46 grape are similar to cultivated grape as well as color and stage of fruit development.
47 Therefore, the phytochemical and their activities of the wild grape fruits may similar to the
48 phytochemical found in the grape. Until now, information about some activities of wild grape
49 phytochemical is not available.

52 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

54 2.1 Plant Material

55 Fresh fruits of wild grape (*Ampelocissus martinii* Planch.) were collected from
56 Suwannaphumi district, Roi-Et province, in August 2012. The plant material was identified by
57 taxonomy professor, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, KhonKaen University,
58 Thailand. The fruits were washed twice with water and grouped followed they colors (green,
59 red and black). All of fruits were kept at 4 °C and then used in urgent.

61 2.2 Preparation of Extracts

62 The wild grape fruits were dried using an oven at 40 °C for 3 days to obtain the final
63 moisture less than 5% of dried fruits. All of wild grape groups were grinded and blended with
64 distilled water, methanol and ethanol using a blender with high speed. The mixture was then
65 homogenized in high speed blender for 5 min and filtered with vacuum under an ice bath.
66 The filtrates were evaporated using a rotary evaporation at 45 °C until the weight of
67 evaporated filtrate were less than 10% of the original weight. All of extractions were
68 performed in triplicate and were stored at -40 °C until use.

2.3 Chemicals

The DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Singapore). Aluminium chloride (AlCl_3) was purchased from Merck (England). Ferric chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and Folin-Ciocalteu's reagent were purchased from Carlo Erba Reagents. 2,4,6-Tri (2-pyridyl)-s-triazine ($\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_6$) was purchased from Acros organics. (\pm)-catechin hydrate ($\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6$), ferrous sulphate heptahydrate ($\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and gallic acid were purchased from Univar. Butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2$) and Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT, $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}$) were purchased from Fluka. All other chemicals and reagents of analytical grade were used.

2.4 Evaluation of Total Phenolic Content

The amount of total phenolic content (TPC) in the extract of wild grape fruits was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent according to the method of Bonoli et al. [29] using gallic acid as a standard. For the modified procedure, fifty microliters of crude extract was mixed with 3 mL of 10% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 10 fold with distilled water). The mixture solution was stand at room temperature for 15 min. After that 1.5 mL of 10% (w/v) sodium carbonate solution was added to the mixture and then left in room temperature for 15 min. The absorbance of all samples was measured at 750 nm using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UV-1610, Shimadzu). The experiment was carried out in triplicate and averages of values content. The TPC was analyzed against gallic acid calibration curve standard and expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE) per grams of fresh weight (g of FW).

2.5 Evaluation of Total Flavonoid Content

The total flavonoid content (TFC) of the extract was evaluated according to the modified method of Yang et al. [30]. The two hundred and fifty microliters of the extract was mixed with 1.25 mL of deionized water, 75 μL of 5% sodium nitrite (NaNO_2) solution and allowed to stand for 5 min at room temperature. One hundred and fifty microliters of 10% aluminium chloride (AlCl_3) was added to the mixture solution and left to react for 6 min at room temperature. Five hundred microliters of 1M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and 775 μL of distilled water were added to the mixture. The absorbance of all samples was immediately measured at 510 nm. TPC was calculated using the standard curve of (\pm)-catechin, and expressed as milligrams of catechin equivalents (mg CE) per gram of fresh weight (g of FW).

2.6 Free-Radical Scavenging Activity

Free radical scavenging activity of the extract was determined by using a stable 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) following a modified method of Chan et al. [31]. A total of 1.0 mL of the extract was added to 2.0 mL of 0.1 mM DPPH solution. The mixture solution was incubated at room temperature in a dark room for 30 min. Absorbance of all samples was measured at 517 nm using an UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The percentage of radical scavenging activity as calculated using the following equation;

$$\text{Radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \frac{[A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}]}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100$$

Where, A_{control} is the absorbance of the control reaction and A_{sample} is the absorbance of the crude extract. BHA dissolved in methanol was also analyzed as control. DPPH radical scavenging activity was expressed as IC_{50} value, which represented the amount of antioxidant in the crude extract necessary to reduce the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. The experiment was performed in triplicates.

2.7 Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

120 The reducing power of the extract was detected using a ferric reducing antioxidant
121 power (FRAP) assay described by Benzie and Strain [32] with some modifications. Briefly,
122 the fresh solution of FRAP reagent contained 2.5 mL of 10 mL 2,4,6-Tri (2- pyridyl)-s-triazine
123 (TPTZ) solution in 40 mM HCl with 2.5 mL of mM FeCl₃ and 25 mL of 0.3M acetate buffer pH
124 3.6 was freshly prepared. The 20 μL of crude extract was mixed with 180 μL of FRAP
125 reagent and allowed to stand at 37 °C for 4 min. The absorbance of the mixture solution was
126 measured at 593 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The ethanolic solution of know Fe (II)
127 concentration in the range of 50-500 μM (FeSO₄) was used as calibration curve. The ferric
128 reducing ability of the crude extracts was expressed as mM of FeSO₄ equivalent
129 concentration (EC) per 100 gram of fresh weight (FW). BHT and quercetin was used as
130 positive controls. The experiment was performed in triplicates.

131

132 **2.8 Bacteria Culture**

133

134 The different 17 types of infection bacterial were chosen substrate as for
135 determination of antibacterial activity of the extract of wild grape (*Ampelocissus martinii*
136 Planch.). All of bacteria including *S. typhi* (DMST 5784), *S. flexneri* (DMST 17569), *E.*
137 *cloacae*, *S. aureus* (ATCC 25293), *S. typhi* (gr. D), *S. paratyphi* (ATCC 14028), *S. typhi*
138 (DMST 16122), *S. flexneri* (DMST 4423), *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), *S. typhimurium* (ATCC
139 14028), *Enterobacter* sp., *B. cereus* (ATCC 11778), *E. coli* (0157:H7 DMST 12733), *Ps.*
140 *aeruginosa*, *S. aureus* (MRSA DMST 20625), *K. pneumonia* and *S. dysenteriae* were
141 cultured in Mueller-Hinton broth at 37 °C for 48 h. The cultured bacteria were diluted with
142 0.84% normal saline by adjusting turbidity of bacterial suspension as equal to McFarland No.
143 0.5 for obtaining bacterial density of about 1.5×10⁸ cell/mL.

144

145 **2.9 Antibacterial Activity of Extracts**

146 The inhibition activity on bacteria of extracts was tested using Agar well diffusion
147 method. The 1 mL of cultured bacteria at equal turbidity of McFarland No.0.5 was swab and
148 placed into the surface of Mueller-Hinton Agar. The agar media was punctured into 3 holes
149 per each culture plates of 0.5 cm diameter. Twenty five micro-liters of the juice extracts were
150 poured into 2 holes of agar and another hole was used as control (without the juice extract).
151 The culture plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Finally, the diameters of inhibition zones
152 (DIZ) were measured in millimeter (mm) and were recorded as the mean of triplicate
153 experiments. Moreover, the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal
154 concentration (MBC) of the fresh juice extracts were carried out using agar two folds serial
155 dilution assay.

156

157 **2.10 Statistical Analysis**

158 Data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD) of triplicate experiments.

159

160

161 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

162

163 **3.1 Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents**

164 The wild grape fruits showed high quantity of phytochemical as indication by total
165 phenolic (TPC) and flavonoid (TFC) contents. The TPC and TFC of the solvent extracts
166 using distilled water methanol and ethanol were summarized in Table 1. The methanolic
167 extract found the highest of TPC, especially from green wild grape (12.558 ± 0.345 mg
168 GAE/gFW), then red and black wild grape fruits. The ethanolic extract showed TPC content
169 similar trend with the methanolic extract. The water extract showed the lowest of TPC
170 compared to other extracts. The total flavonoid content (TFC) was the highest in the
171 methanolic extract of green wild grape (21.349 ± 0.69 mg CE/gFW) which was equal content
172 to ethanolic extract (20.901 ± 0.24 mg CE/gFW). However, the extracts from the red color of

173 wild grape fruit were also have high TFC content with 17.404 ± 0.41 mg CE/gFW
174 (methanolic extract), 19.902 ± 0.48 mg CE/gFW (ethanolic extract). The ethanolic extract
175 from black color of wild grape fruit has TFC of 15.628 ± 0.31 mg CE/gFW, which was the
176 highest in the same color. The TFC of the water extracts were the lowest and have the same
177 content in all colors. The phytochemicals found to gradually study and interested since they
178 are more effective activity on human health [14]. The cultivated grape has been reported as
179 a rich source of phytochemicals [33-35]. The cultivated green grape (cultivar Chardonnay)
180 showed the TPC and TFC of 2.011 ± 0.05 and 1.664 ± 0.20 mg/mL, respectively, while the
181 red grape (cultivar Concord) showed the TPC and TFC of 3.340 ± 0.13 and 1.682 ± 0.06
182 mg/mL, respectively [36]. The phytochemical composition in wild grape has been rarely
183 available information so far. The results from this work reveal that the fruit of wild grape
184 showed high content of TPC and TFC as like as grape. The contents of phytochemical found
185 to be related directly with biological activities, especially antioxidant activity [37]. The
186 contents of phytochemical were affected from both the solvent used and the color of wild
187 grape fruits. It is well known those cultivars, maturity, colors, part of fruits as well as the
188 types and quantity of phytochemicals [13]. In addition, both genetic and agronomic or
189 environmental factors act main roles on the phytochemical composition and nutritional
190 quality of the crops [33]. In this recent work, the TPC and TFC were higher in the green and
191 red colors than black color of wild grape fruit. This may affect from the chlorophylls [38] and
192 anthocyanins [39] composed in the green and red colors, respectively. Interestingly,
193 anthocyanin is also found in black color, but it showed the lowest contents of both TPC and
194 TFC. This might be affected from the method of extraction as well as the solvent used.

195

196

197

3.2 Antioxidant Activity

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

The antioxidant activity of the extracts was shown in Table 2. The IC_{50} was calculated from DPPH assay and expressed as the concentration of antioxidant exists in the extract which was able to decrease 50% amount of the DPPH. With IC_{50} value, the water extract has not show antioxidant activity in all of fruit extracts (ND). This obtained results showed difference profile comparison to FRAB since the FRAB value of water extracts showed ferric reducing power of 207.290 ± 7.75 , 140.370 ± 5.38 and 138.790 ± 7.73 mM $FeSO_4$ /gFW for green, red and black colors of wild grape fruits, respectively. Considering from IC_{50} value, both methanolic and ethanolic extracts have similar power of antioxidant activity. The methanolic extract ($IC_{50} = 0.186 \pm 0.004$ μ g/mL) of green wild grape has higher powerful than ethanolic extract ($IC_{50} = 0.413 \pm 0.017$ μ g/mL). The extract from red color of wild grape fruit has also revealed the antioxidant activity similar trend to the green since the methanolic extract ($IC_{50} = 0.397 \pm 0.017$ μ g/mL) indicated higher efficacy on DPPH radical than ethanolic extract (1.433 ± 0.064 μ g/mL). Moreover, the methanolic extract of black wild grape fruit showed antioxidant activity by DPPH assay, but in the lowest capacity (52.265 ± 7.884 μ g/mL). The methanolic and ethanolic extracts from green and red colors of wild grape fruits showed higher reducing ability than that of water extract. Furthermore, the methanolic extract showed the higher activity than ethanolic extract. The FRAB values of the methanolic extract from green wild grape (560.610 ± 9.370 mM $FeSO_4$ /100gFW) showed the highest value as well as ethanolic extract (361.750 ± 6.507 mM $FeSO_4$ /100gFW). With previous reports, polyphenols and flavonoids are used for prevention of various degenerative diseases [7,40]. It is well known that phenolics act as terminators of free radical from oxidation reaction, while flavonoids are responsible for the radical scavenging effects [5]. Generally, the extract with high total phenolic contents had higher antioxidant activity [33,38]. The methanolic extracts of green color of wild grape fruit showed the lowest value of IC_{50} and the highest of FRAP value. This means the antioxidant activity in the methanolic extract of green wild grape have the most potential of antioxidant activity. In the same time, ethanolic of green wild grape showed slightly lower antioxidant activity than the methanolic extract. Moreover, both methanolic and ethanolic extracts of red wild grape showed directly

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

226 trend following the results of phytochemical investigation. On the other hand, the IC₅₀ of the
227 water extracts did not be detect, but found little of FRAP values showed little activity. The
228 water extract of black wild grape has the higher FRAP value even the extracts from green
229 and red colors of wild grape fruit showed lower of FRAP value than alcoholic extracts. The
230 result may suggest that the active compound in the water extract of black wild grape may not
231 be phenolic or flavonoid. Many previous works have been reported that the bioactive
232 substances found in plants or microorganisms are also composed of other biological
233 activities such as antimicrobial activities [41], inhibition of plasma platelet aggregation and
234 cyclooxygenase activity, histamine release suppression, anti-inflammatory and antiallergenic
235 effects [42].
236

237

238 4.3 Antibacterial activity

239

240 The water extract of dried wild grape fruits did not have the antibacterial activity
241 against all of infective bacteria. As shown in Table 3, methanolic extract from green color of
242 wild grape fruits was moderate effective against over 7 strains including *S. typhi* DMST
243 5784, *S. typhi* gr. D, *S. paratyphi* ATCC 14028, *S. typhi* DMST 16122, *B. cereus* ATCC
244 11778, *E.coli* 0157: H7 DMST 12733 and *Ps. aeruginosa* with diameter inhibition zone (DIZ)
245 in range of 10-12 mm. The methanolic extract of red wild grape has the highest antibacterial
246 activity for *S. typhi* DMST 16122 (16 mm). However, it showed narrow antibacterial activity
247 against only *S. typhi* gr. D (DIZ=10 mm), *E. coli* 0157: H7 DMST 12733 (DIZ=9 mm) and *Ps.*
248 *aeruginosa* (DIZ=12 mm). The extract of black wild grape showed similar antibacterial
249 activity as like as the extract of red wild grape, since it can be inhibited only 4 strains of
250 infective bacteria. The highest effective antibacterial activity of the extract from black color of
251 wild grape fruit was found in *B. cereus* ATCC 11778 (DIZ=17 mm). In addition, it can be
252 moderately inhibited of *S. typhi* DMST 16122, *E. coli* 0157: H7 DMST 1273 and *Ps.*
253 *aeruginosa* with diameter inhibition zone in range of 10-11 mm. Generally, the ethanolic
254 extract of wild grape fruits showed widely inhibited of bacteria comparison to the methanolic
255 extract as shown in Table 4. All of wild grape fruit colors showed similar pattern against
256 infective bacteria. However, those of extracts cannot have antibacterial activity against 6
257 strains include *E. cloacae*, *S. aureus* ATCC 25293, *S. typhi* gr. D, *S. paratyphi* ATCC 14028,
258 *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *B. cereus* ATCC 11778 and *S. aureus* MRSA DMST 20625. The
259 ethanolic extracts from all of wild grape fruit colors showed moderately antibacterial activity
260 against *S. flexneri* DMST 4423, *S. typhimurium* ATCC 14028, *E. coli* 0157: H7 DMST 12733,
261 *Ps. aeruginosa* and *S. dysenteriae* with diameter inhibition zone in range from 9-13 mm. The
262 *S. typhi* DMST 5784 (DIZ=11 mm) and *S. typhi* DMST 16122 (DIZ=12 mm) were inhibited by
263 the ethanolic extracts from green and red colors of wild grape fruit. Moreover, *S. flexneri*
264 DMST 17569 was only inhibited by ethanolic extract of red wild grape (11 mm), while *S.*
265 *paratyphi* ATCC 14028 was inhibited by the ethanolic extract of black wild grape (DIZ=10
266 mm), respectively. As shown in Table 5, the MIC and MBC values were found between 500-
267 250 µg/mL of the methanolic extract. Beside the MBC and MIC, the methanolic extracts from
268 red wild grape showed the highest power on *S. typhi* DMST 16122 whereas the extract from
269 black wild grape showed the highest power on *B. cereus* ATCC 11778 with the values of 250
270 µg/mL. On the other hand, *S. typhi* DMST 5784, *S. flexneri* DMST 17569, *S. paratyphi* ATCC
271 14028, *S. flexneri* DMST 4423, *S. typhimurium* ATCC 14028, *E. coli* 0157: H7 DMST 12733,
272 *Ps. aeruginosa* and *S. dysenteriae* were selected for MIC and MBC assays of ethanolic
273 extract. The results indicated that both MBC and MIC values of tested bacteria were 500
274 µg/mL, except *Ps. aeruginosa* was 250 µg/mL. The methanolic and ethanolic extracts
275 showed widely effective antibacterial activity. However, the profiles of each extracts were
276 varied depending on solvent and fruit color. This result suggested that the antibacterial
277 activity of the extracts was affected by different kinds of phytochemical composed in each
278 stages of the fruit development. It is well known that the active compounds called

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

279 phytochemicals were produced for plant against microbial pathogens which were considered
280 to be potent source of novel compounds with having biological activities such as antioxidant
281 and antimicrobial activities [7,43]. The development of drug from natural medicinal plants
282 instead of commercial antimicrobial drugs has been focused in recent years [44]. The
283 obtained results from this work indicated that alcoholic extracts of wild grape fruits are
284 effective against the selected bacteria with slightly differed in types of bacteria and
285 efficacies. This result might be caused from the characteristics of each bacterial cell wall
286 [45]. With previous reports, many bioactive produced by plants have been found to protect
287 plants against bacteria, fungi and pests [44,46]. Therefore, it is not surprise that the extracts
288 of wild grape fruits have be composed of antibacterial activity. With MIC and MBC studies,
289 the methanolic and ethanolic extracts revealed similar potential of antibacterial activity, but in
290 different profiles. This result should be reflected by the phytochemicals composed in the
291 extracts. The results of TPC and TFC contents found to relate directly on antioxidant and
292 antibacterial activities of the extracts. However, the temperature of soxhlet extraction was
293 different for each solvent used which could be affected the phytochemical and biological
294 activities of the obtained extracts. Therefore, this point may an important to different content
295 of TPC and TFC as well as antioxidant and antibacterial activities. In further study, other
296 extraction method, solvents and active compounds such as steroids, alkaloids or tannins
297 may be involved on the tested biological activities which should be further performed.

298 4. CONCLUSION

299
300 The phytochemicals and their biological activities; antioxidant and antibacterial
301 activities of the wild grape fruit solvent extracts were reported in this work. The results can
302 be concluded that methanolic extract from green color of wild grape fruit has the highest of
303 total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), high potential of antioxidant
304 activity. The water extract showed the lowest of total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid
305 content (TFC) and has not composed of antioxidant and antibacterial activities. On the other
306 hand, methanolic extracts from black color of wild grape fruit and ethanolic extract from red
307 color of wild grape fruits showed widely antibacterial activity against infective bacteria with
308 MIC and MBC arranged from 500-250 µg/mL. From all of results, the solvent extracts of wild
309 grape (*Ampelocissus martinii* Planch.) fruits are rich in phytochemical contents which
310 possessed high antioxidant and antimicrobial activities. Therefore the data found in this work
311 might be used for further study of the wild grape extract on various applications such as
312 health supplement and pharmaceutical benefits.

313 314 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

315
316 We would like to thank Dr. Gusavadee Sangdee and Miss Jiraporn Krasaetep for their kindly
317 support both chemical reagent and technique. We also great fully thank Division of Research
318 Facilitation and Dissemination, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science,
319 Mahasarakham University and Center of Excellence for Innovation in Chemistry (PERCH-
320 CIC), Commission on Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Thailand for financial support.
321
322

323 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

324

325 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

326

327 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

328

329 Srihanam Prasong designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol,
330 and wrote the first draft of the manuscript.

331 Saengdee Apidech and Jirum Jenjira managed the analyses of the study and collected all
332 data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

333

334

335 **REFERENCES**

336

337 1. Chouchouli V, Kalogeropoulos N, Konteles SJ, Karvela E, Makris DP, Karathanos VT.
338 Fortification of yoghurts with grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seed extracts. LWT-Food Sci Technol.
339 2013; 53: 522-529.

340 {<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.03.008>}

341

342 2. Dani C, Oliboni LS, Vanderlinde R, Bonatto D, Salvader M, Henriques JAP. Phenolic
343 content and antioxidant activities of white and purple juices manufacture with organically-or
344 conventionally-produced grapes. Food Chem Toxicol. 2007;45:2574-2580.

345 {<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17683842>}

346

347 3. Poudel PR, Tamura H, Kataoka I, Mochioka R. Phenolic compounds and antioxidant
348 activities of skins and seeds of five wild grapes and two hybrids native to Japan. J Food
349 Compos Anal. 2008; 21:622-625.

350 {<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2008.07.003>}

351

352 4. Javanmardi J, Stushnoff C, Locke E, Viranco JM. Antioxidant activity and total phenolic
353 content of Iranian *Ocimum* accessions. Food Chem. 2003;83:547-550.

354 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814603001511}

355

356 5. Atanassova M, Georgieva S, Ivancheva K. Total phenolic and total flavonoid contents,
357 antioxidant capacity and biological contaminants in medicinal herbs. Journal of the University
358 of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy. 2011;46:81-88.

359 {www.uctm.edu/journal/j2011-1/12_Maria_Atanassova.pdf}

360

361 6. Soylu EM, Soylu S, Kurt S. Antimicrobial activities of the essential oils of various plants
362 against tomato late blight disease agent *Phytophthora infestans*. Mycopathologia. 2006;
363 161:119-128.

364 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16463095}

365

366 7. Alghazeer R, El-Saltani H, Saleh N, Al-Najjar A, Hebail F. Antioxidant and antimicrobial
367 properties of five medicinal Libyan plants extracts. Natural Science. 2012;4:324-335.

368 {www.scirp.org/Journal/PaperInformation.aspx?paperID=19119}

369 8. Liu YS, Zhang KQ. Antibacterial activity of selected *Cyathus* species. Mycopathologia.
370 2004;157:185-189.

371

372 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15119855}

373

374 9. Mares D, Romagnoli C, Tosi B, Andreotti E, Chillemi G, Poli F. Chicory extracts from
375 *Cichorium intybus* L. as potential antifungals. Mycopathologia. 2005;160:85-92.

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

376 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16160773}

377

378 10. Yazaki K, Sugiyama A, Morita M, Shitan N. Secondary transport as a efficient membrane

379 transport mechanism of plant secondary metabolites. *Phytochemistry Review*. 2008;7:513-

380 524.

381 {link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11101-007-9079-8}

382

383 11. Suhaj M. Spice antioxidants isolation and their antiradical activity: a review. *Journal of*

384 *Food composition and Analysis*. 2006;19:513-537.

385

386 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0889157505000232}

387

388 12. Renuka Devi R., Arumughan C. Phytochemical characterization of defatted rice bran and

389 optimization of a process for their extraction and enrichment. *Bioresource Technology*.

390 2007;98:3037–3043.

391

392 13. Lako J, Craige Trenergy V, Wahlqvist M, Wattanapenpaiboon N, Sotheeswaran S,

393 Premier R. Phytochemical flavonols, carotenoids and the antioxidant properties of a wide

394 selection of Fijian fruit, vegetables and other readily available foods. *Food Chem*.

395 2007;101:1727-1741.

396 {DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.01.031}

397 14. Liu RH. Whole grain phytochemical and health. *J Cereal Sci*. 2011;46: 207-219.

398 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0733521007001166}

399

400 15. Desai MN, Chaven NS. Antibacterial activity and phytochemical screening of *Cynometra*

401 *iripa* kostel. *Int J Pharm Biol Sci*. 2010;1:1-4.

402 {www.ijpbs.net/issue-3/14.pdf}

403 16. Chatterjee SK, Bhattacharjee I, Chandra G. Isolation and identification of bioactive

404 antibacterial components in leaf extracts of *Vangueria spinosa* (Rubiaceae). *Asian Pac J*

405 *Trop Med*. 2011;4:35-40.

406 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21771412}

407 17. Lee S, San D, Ryu J, Lee YS, Jung SH, Kang J. Anti-oxidant activities of *Acanthopanax*

408 *senticosus* stems and their lignin components. *Arch Pharm Res*. 2004; 27:106-110.

409 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14969348}

410 18. Tsao R, Deng Z. Separation procedures for naturally occurring antioxidant

411 phytochemicals. *J Chromatog B*. 2004;812:85-99.

412 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15556490}

413 19. Kang NS, Lee JH. Characterisation of phenolic phytochemicals and quality changes

414 related to the harvest times from the leaves of Korean purple perilla (*Perilla frutescens*).

415 *Food Chem*. 2011;124:556-562.

416 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030881461000782X}

417 20. Chun SS, Vattem DA, Lin YT, Shetty K. Phenolic antioxidants from clonal (*Origanum*

418 *vulgare*) with antimicrobial activity against *Helicobacter pylori*. *Process Biochem*.

419 2005;40:809-816.

420 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032959204001189}

421 21. Ma H, He X, Yang Y, Li M, Hao D, Jia Z. The genus *Epimedium*: An

422 ethanopharmacological and phytochemical review. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2011;134:519-541.

423 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21215308}

424 22. Jang HD, Chang KS, Huang YS, Hsu CL, Lee SH, Su MS. Principal phenolic

425 phytochemicals and antioxidant activities of three Chinese medicinal plants. *Food Chem*.

426 2007;103: 749-756.

427 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S030881460600731X}

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

- 428 23. Ranilla LG, Kwon YI, Apostolidis E, Shetty K. Phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity
429 and *in vitro* inhibit potential against key enzymes relevant for hyperglycemia and
430 hypertension of commonly used medicinal plants, herbs and spices in Latin America.
431 Bioresource Technol. 2010;101:4676-4689.
432 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20185303 }
- 433 24. Rosso GL. Ins and outs of dietary phytochemicals in cancer chemoprevention. Biochem
434 Pharmacol. 2007;74:533-544.
435
436 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17382300}
- 437 25. Astelbauer F, Gruber M, Brem B, Greger H, Obwaller A, Wernsdorfer G, Congpuong K,
438 Wernsdorfer WH, Walochnik J. Activity of selected phytochemicals against *Plasmodium*
439 *falciparum*. Acta Tropical. 2012;123(2):96-100.
440 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22537982}
- 441
442 26. Xu C, Zhang Y, Wang J, Lu J. Extraction, distribution and characterization of phenolic
443 compounds and oil in grape seeds. Food Chem. 2010;122:688-694.
444 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814610003110}
- 445
446 27. Cheng VJ, Bekhit AE-DA, MaConnell M, Mros S, Zhao J. Effect of extraction solvent,
447 waste fraction and grape variety on the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of extracts
448 from wine residue from cool climate. Food Chem. 2012;134:474-482.
449 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814612002919}
- 450
451 28. Lai P, Li KY, Lu S, Chen HH. Phytochemical and antioxidant properties of solvent
452 extract from *Japonica* rice bran. Food Chem. 2009;177:538-544.
453 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814609004932}
- 454
455 29. Bonoli M, Verardo V, Marconi E, Caboni MF. Antioxidant phenols in barley (*Hordeum*
456 *vulgare* L.) flour: comparative spectrophotometric study among extraction methods of free
457 and bound phenolic compounds. J Agri Food Chem. 2009;5:5195-5200.
458 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15291496}
- 459
460 30. Yang J, Martinson TE, Liu RH. Phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activities of wine
461 grapes. Food Chem. 2009;116:332-339.
462 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814609002088}
- 463
464 31. Chan EWC, Lim YY, Omar M. Antioxidant and antibacterial activity of leaves of *Etilingera*
465 species (Zingiberaceae) in Peninsular Malaysia. Food Chem. 2007;104:1586-1593.
466 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814607002257}
- 467
468 32. Benzie IFF, Strain JJ. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of
469 "antioxidant power": The FRAP assay. Anal Biochem. 1996;239:70-76.
470 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8660627 }
- 471
472 34. Chuang C-C, Bumrungpert A, Kennedy A, Overman A, West T, Dawson B. et al. Grape
473 powder extract attenuates tumor necrosis factor α -mediated inflammation and insulin
474 resistance in primary cultures of human adipocytes. J Nutr Biochem. 2011;22: 89-94.
475 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20382011}
- 476
477 35. Anastasiadi M, Pratsinis H, Kletsas D, Skaltsounis A-L, Haroutounian SA. Bioactive non-
478 coloured polyphenols contents of grapes, wines and verification by products: Evaluation of
479 the antioxidant activities of their extracts. Food Res Int. 2010;43:805-813.
480

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

- 481 {doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2009.11.017}
482 36. Yang J, Martinson TE, Liu RH. Phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activities of wine
483 grapes. Food Chem. 2009;116:332-339.
484 {doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.02.021}
485 37. Wang W, Yagiz Y, Buran TJ, Nunes CDN, Gu L. Phytochemicals from berries and
486 grapes inhibited the formation of advanced glycation end-products by scavenging reactive
487 carbonyls. Food Res Int. 2011;44:2666-2673.
488 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0963996911003383}
489
490 38. Irudayaraj V, Janaky M, Johnson M, Selvan N. Preliminary phytochemical and
491 antimicrobial studies on a spike-moss *Selaginella inaequalifolia* (hook. & grev.) Spring. Asian
492 Pacific J Trop Med. 2010;3:957-960.
493 {www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1995764511600084}
494
495 39. Velioglu YS, Mazza G, Gao L, Oomah B. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in
496 selected fruits, vegetables, and grain products. J Agric Food Chem. 1998;46:4113-4117.
497 {pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jf9801973}
498
499 40. Deepa VS, Kumar PS, Latha S, Selvamani P, Srinivasan S. Antioxidant studies on the
500 ethanolic extract of *Commiphora* spp. Afr J Biotechnol. 2009;8:1630-1636.
501 {www.ajol.info/index.php/ajb/article/download/60349/48587}
502
503 41. Skočibušić M, Bezić N, Dunkić V. Phytochemical composition and antimicrobial activities
504 of the essential oils from *Satureja subspicata* Vis. Growing in Croatia. Food Chem.
505 2006;96:20-28.
506 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.01.051
507
508 42. Cook NC, Samman S. Flavonoids; chemistry, metabolism, cardioprotective effects and
509 dietary sources. J Nutr Biochem. 1996;7:66-76.
510 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2863(95)00168-9
511
512 43. Edziri H, Mastouri M, Cheraif I, Aouini M. Chemical composition and antibacterial,
513 antifungal and antioxidant activities of the flower oil of *Retama raetam* (Forssk.) Webb from
514 Tunisia. Nat Prod Res. 2010;24:789-796.
515 {www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20461625}
516
517 44. Patra JK, Dhal NK, Thatoi HN. *In vitro* bioactivity and phytochemical screening of
518 *Suaeda maritime* (Dumort): A mangrove associate from Bhitarkanika, India. Asian Pacific
519 Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2011; 4(9):727-734.
520 {doi: 10.1016/S1995-7645(11)60182-X.}
521
522 45. Scherrer R, Gerhardt P. Molecular sieving by the *Bacillus megaterium* cell wall and
523 protoplast. J Bacteriol. 1971;107:718-735.
524 {http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC246993}
525
526 46. Aboaba OO, Smith SI, Olude FO. Antimicrobial effect of edible plant extract on
527 *Escherichia coli* 0157: H7. Pak J Nutri. 2006;5:325-327.
528 {scialert.net/abstract/?doi=pjn.2006.325.327}

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

Table 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC) of wild grape extracts in different colors (green, red and black) of wild grape fruits.

Color	TPC (mg GAE/ gFW) \pm SD			TFC (mg CE/gFW) \pm SD		
	water	methanol	ethanol	water	methanol	ethanol
Green	0.697 \pm 0.004	12.558 \pm 0.345	7.148 \pm 0.423	5.588 \pm 0.013	21.349 \pm 0.694	20.901 \pm 0.236
Red	0.522 \pm 0.135	6.445 \pm 0.009	4.105 \pm 0.038	5.245 \pm 0.013	17.403 \pm 0.412	19.902 \pm 0.481
Black	0.324 \pm 0.120	2.608 \pm 0.122	1.150 \pm 0.496	4.902 \pm 0.000	9.243 \pm 0.232	15.628 \pm 0.305

Mean \pm S.D. = mean values \pm standard deviation of triplicate experiments.

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of wild grape extracts in different color (green, red and black) of wild grape fruits expressed by IC₅₀ and FRAP values.

Colors	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL) ± SD			FRAP (mM FeSO ₄ /100gFW) ± SD		
	water	methanol	ethanol	water	methanol	ethanol
Green	ND	0.186 ± 0.004	0.412 ± 0.017	207.290 ± 7.749	560.610 ± 9.370	361.750 ± 6.507
Red	ND	0.397 ± 0.017	1.432 ± 0.064	140.370 ± 5.381	239.010 ± 10.291	196.830 ± 6.476
Black	ND	52.264 ± 7.884	ND	138.790 ± 7.729	83.602 ± 4.789	68.379 ± 1.980

ND = no detection

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.

Table 3. Diameter of inhibition zone of methanolic extracts in different colors (green, red and black) of wild grape fruits.

Bacterial	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)		
	Green	Red	Black
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 5784	11	-	-
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 17569	-	-	-
<i>E. cloacae</i>	-	-	-
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25293	-	-	-
<i>S. typhi</i> gr. D	11	10	-
<i>S. paratyphi</i> ATCC 14028	10	-	-
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 16122	12	16	10
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 4423	-	-	-
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 25922	-	-	-
<i>S. typhimurium</i> ATCC 14028	-	-	-
<i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 11778	12	-	17
<i>E.coli</i> 0157: H7 DMST 12733	10	9	11
<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	11	12	10
<i>S. aureus</i> MRSA DMST 20625	-	-	-
<i>S. dysenteriae</i>	-	-	-

(-) = no activity

Table 4. Diameter of inhibition zone of ethanolic extracts in different colors (green, red and black) of wild grape fruits.

Bacterial	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)		
	Green	Red	Black
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 5784	11	11	-
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 17569	-	11	-
<i>E. cloace</i>	-	-	-
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25293	-	-	-
<i>S. typhi</i> gr. D	-	-	-
<i>S. paratyphi</i> ATCC 14028	-	-	10
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 16122	12	12	-
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 4423	9	11	10
<i>E. coli</i> ATCC 25922	-	-	-
<i>S. typhimurium</i> ATCC 14028	9	11	10
<i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 11778	-	-	-
<i>E. coli</i> 0157: H7 DMST 12733	9	9	12
<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	13	14	10
<i>S. aureus</i> MRSA DMST 20625	-	-	-
<i>S. dysenteriae</i>	12	13	12

Table 5 MBC and MIC values of solvent extracts on selected bacteria.

Bacterial (wild grape fruit color)	MBC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)
Methanolic extract		
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 5784 (Green)	500	500
<i>S. typhi</i> gr. D. (Green)	500	500
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 16122 (Red)	250	250
<i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 11778 (Black)	250	250
<i>E. coli</i> 0157: H7 DMST 12733 (Black)	500	500
<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> (Red)	500	500
Ethanollic extract		
<i>S. typhi</i> DMST 5784 (Green)	500	500
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 17569 (Red)	500	500
<i>S. paratyphi</i> ATCC 14028 (Black)	500	500
<i>S. flexneri</i> DMST 4423 (Red)	500	500
<i>S. typhimurium</i> ATCC 14028 (Red)	500	500
<i>E. coli</i> 0157: H7 DMST 12733 (Black)	500	500
<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> (Green/Red)	250	250
<i>S. dysenteriae</i> (Red)	500	500

* Tel.: +66 43 754246; fax: +66 43 754246.

E-mail address: prasong.s@msu.ac.th;psrihanam@gmail.com.