



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | <u>American Chemical Science Journal</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | 2013_ACSj_4598 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Spectrophotometric and Chromatographic Methods for the Estimation of Raloxifene Hydrochloride in pure form and pharmaceutical preparation |
| Type of the Article | Research paper |

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | I believe that " bulk powder " would be more accurate than " pure form " in the title. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aim: To develop and validate simple, accurate (remove "were developed and validated" in second line) • Line 28 : remove "were" • Line 45: just write "2.4. Procedure" • Line 45: what is the reaction with TCNQ ?!! • Line 53: were transferred • Line 77: the calculated is the relative peak area of RXF to that of benzophenone. • Fig.2 (1): Please show clearly which spectrum is A & which is B. • Line 136: what are the eluted peaks? It's a single drug. State that the elution was compared to internal standard. • Line 138: state that those trials were done under the optimization of the developed method | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Note: Anonymous Reviewer