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The peer reviewers have controversy opinions on the paper No.2012 BJMMR 2409. Three reviewers have objections on the paper due (1) laryngoscopy is the standard method to exam the PVFM, the APD is only an alternative, (2) disagree with the paper, it is only a physiological finding in 15 volunteers without clinical application, (3) or even refuse to re-review on the revised manuscript. Their opinions we must take into consideration seriously, because all the reviews are specialists in Pediatric Pulmonology, or Otolaryngology, and are distinguished professors in prestigious universities worldwide as well.

The authors have a series studies on APD in the clinical application to examine the PVFM patients as their answers to the reviews ‘comments. This is the first paper of their study. Our journal would encourage them to submit more papers afterwards. The paper is accepted if the authors are going to submit their clinical application data to us.

I would suggest this paper to be accepted for publication in moderate priority.

Editor’s Details:
Jiunn Ren Wu
College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.