



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_7322
Title of the Manuscript:	Early intervention in audiology: Exploring the current status from a developing country context
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p># Abstract does not include conclusion. Conclusion should be inserted into abstract.</p> <p># Primary aim and secondary objectives should be move to introduction.</p> <p># The contents of Fig 1, Fig 2, Table 2, Table 4, and Table 5 is referred in the text, therefore, these Figures and Tables should be deleted.</p> <p># Table 6 is hard to make out because of line feeds. This table need revise.</p> <p># Text or table need explanation of abbreviation of "SNHL" and "OAE".</p> <p># What is the definition of "profound SNHL", "moderate SNHL", "severe SNHL", "mild SNHL"?</p> <p># What is the difference of moderate SNHL and moderate to severe SNHL?</p> <p># What is "OAE fail"? It needs explanation.</p> <p>#OAE is not a diagnosis but a type of equipments to detect hearing loss. Therefore, those patients (i.e. OAE fail) should be categorize to other type of hearing loss.</p> <p># There are a lots of patients with conductive hearing loss or mixed hearing loss. Why were not these participants included in these samples (Table 1)?</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>I think that at least 5% children who used BAHA are mixed hearing loss or conductive hearing loss. #L149. The section of method need detailed sampling patients. What is the definition of the “participants”? #L197 How many children received the audiological intervention? #L197 What is “appropriate audiological intervention”? It needs detailed explanation. #L199 What is “subjective testing”? It needs detailed explanation. #L214 “recourses” is “resources”? Is it typo? #L292 “then” is “than”? Is it typo? # The section of conclusion is too long. It need sum-up.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer