



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_10962
Title of the Manuscript:	Handwritten to Electronic Prescriptions: Emerging Views and Practices, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>"Limitations" should come after "Discussion" or could be merged with it. The manuscript is a bit bulky though the journal has no restrictions on number of words or pages. It would be better if the size could be reduced a bit without watering down the quality.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer