



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_8391
Title of the Manuscript:	High Prevalence of Small Intestinal Bacterial Overgrowth in Lactose Intolerance Patients: is it a chicken and egg situation?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting study and worth reporting. This study needed to be done as it is exactly what we see in the clinic. However, there are concerns that need clarification. In the methods, the authors state that subjects with SIBO received rifaximin but in the results, it looks like half received rifaximin and lactose free diet and half received lactose free diet alone. This method should be more explicit. Also, was this a double blind randomization or open label.</p> <p>The results regarding correlation between glucose BT and SIBO were very interesting and remarkable at 98%. Could the criteria for SIBO be too liberal? This needs further revision</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are grammatical errors that need correction.	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer