



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_7322
Title of the Manuscript:	Early intervention in audiology: Exploring the current status from a developing country context
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://Www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In my opinion, UNHS programmes have more than 20 years in America and UK, thence this kind of programmes have not been recently implemented. I think that introduction has many lines, I prefer two sections: introduction and background.</p> <p>The style of presentation would check in table 3, 4, 5 6.</p> <p>The draft checks between the lines 330 and 338.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I propose to separate the results of the discussion, with the creation of two differentiated paragraphs.</p> <p>I would like to know the total number of children with losses of hearing that are detected in Johannesburg, and why is so different the number of participants of every hospital?</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>English language and style need a revision in all the article</p>	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer