



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u><a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a></u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2014_BJMMR_10962</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Handwritten to Electronic Prescriptions: Emerging Views and Practices, Saudi Arabia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>The topic should be SMART:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Better in this way:</b> comparison of Handwritten and Electronic Prescriptions intermesh of medication error in Saudi Arabia or</li> <li>- Electronic Prescriptions as medication error preventing strategies in Saudi Arabia</li> </ul> <p>since throughout your paper you relay on principles of electronic prescribing, medication errors ADRS.....thus should be reshuffled in such away</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Objective:</b> should be indicated in the abstract and be SMART. Thus, include your objective here</li> <li>- <b>Methods:</b> should include the articles to be included including search strategy. Inclusion exclusion criteria and analysis be included her</li> <li>- <b>Results:</b> more of theoretical than what your objective says. Thus, be in line with your objective</li> <li>- <b>Conclusion:</b> please conclude you objecting than advocating use of electronic prescribing based on your results and discussions. Conclude both handwritten and electronic prescribing</li> </ul> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- You explain <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Handwritten and electronic prescribing as causes for error which is not your objective</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Please start by explain the handwritten and electronic prescribing than with errors and their drawbacks and advantages. Related consequences like errors have to be followed the prescribing types</li> <li>- Please add some more paragraphs and limit introduction in one page</li> <li>- Introduction should be concluded by explaining the aim of review</li> </ul> <p><b>Methods should follow the introduction</b></p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Study areas and Scope of review ( including years...), Search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria and others should be specified here in methods than using only search strategy</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and discussions :</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Here you ignored handwritten prescriptions .....you have to adhere to your objective otherwise revise your objective unless it seems you are avocation the electronic prescribing than researching</li> </ul> <p><b>Limitation of the study???</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Will be reduced by clearly framing your study             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Year of publication, area of publication (Saudi Arabia), scope.....</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Should be based on the review than biasing on advocacy</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-There are some grammar and language errors that has to be corrected</li> <li>- Grammar and English language editing should be done before publishing</li> <li>- there should be logical follow of the ideas and the paper in general</li> <li>- as much as possible adhere on your objective is try to reduce bias</li> </ul>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asrat Agalu Abejew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wollo University, Department of pharmacy , Ethiopia</b>