



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_7322
Title of the Manuscript:	Early intervention in audiology: Exploring the current status from a developing country context
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I strongly recommend that the early detection and intervention on hearing loss newborns or children in other developing countries, like China, should be cited and compared with the result in South Africa.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some English grammatical and typos, e.g. line 292 "amplification then those who are monaurally amplified",line 380 "important aspects of the current study that needs to be considered is the age of identification",line 382" which could lead to earlier identification."	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a retrospective study describing the early audiology intervention in children with hearing impairment in South Africa's Gauteng state. It highlight the importance of early dection and follow-up services to ensure early management. It's an article of merit and interest in its field.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer