

Original Research Article**A STEP FURTHER IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN URIC ACID AND VASCULAR RISK: TUBULAR HANDLING OF URIC ACID IN HYPERTENSION STUDY.**

5

ABSTRACT**Background**

Uric acid is a major cardiovascular risk factor and a risk for kidney disease. It is not only a marker of progression of renal injury, but it also provokes it and makes it progress. We analyze its kidney metabolism in hypertensive patients, to find differences with the healthy population, and whether it is altered in situations such of hypertension with chronic renal failure, use of diuretics and obesity.

Methods

We performed a descriptive, cross-sectional and retrospective study of 95 hypertensive patients, in which we study the parameters of renal excretion of uric acid. We compared the results of our hypertensive patients with the literature data of healthy people, and we study the effect of chronic kidney disease, use of diuretics and obesity in the renal metabolism of uric acid.

Results

The clearance (5,56 ml/min) and fractional excretion of uric acid (6,65%) are lower in hypertensive people than in the healthy population (UACI: 8-12 ml/min and UAUF: 8-10 %). The clearance also decreases significantly in hypertensive patients with chronic kidney disease compared to hypertensive patients with normal renal function (3.38 vs 6.16 ml / min) and in patients treated with diuretics (6.1 vs 6.4 ml/min). Obesity also contributes to reduced renal excretion of uric acid.

Conclusions:

In hypertension with normal renal function without diuretic therapy, in chronic kidney disease and in treatment with diuretics, renal excretion of uric acid is reduced. So, we must ask ourselves whether this fact could be the pathogenic basis for many forms of

30 essential hypertension or whether, it is the translation of the prejudicial impact of
31 hypertension in the kidney.

32 **KEY WORDS:** Diuretics, Hypertension, Obesity, Uric acid

33 **INTRODUCTION**

34 Asymptomatic hyperuricemia is defined as the increase of seric uric acid (UA) to levels
35 above 0,39 mmol/L in men and 0,33 mmol/L in women (due to the estrogen uricosuric
36 effect) [1], figures that increase the risk of arthritis and nephrolithiasis.

37 Although its true prevalence in our environment is unknown, we can say that it has
38 increased in recent decades, due to factors such as increased life expectancy, diets rich
39 in fructose and higher prevalence of hypertension (HT), obesity and metabolic
40 syndrome [1,2].

41 UA level is an important cardiovascular and renal disease (RD) risk factor, especially in
42 patients with hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM) or heart failure. It is known that
43 hyperuricemia is a risk factor for hypertension in humans and even in experimental
44 animal level and also for the development of proteinuria, which promotes the hypothesis
45 that it induces renal damage or its progression. Recent evidence suggests that the UA is
46 not only a marker of progression of renal injury but also it is a risk marker that causes
47 the progression of renal injury [3].

48 Uric acid induces at the vessels level, a reduction in nitric oxide (NO) and the onset of
49 reactive oxygen species, vascular inflammation, smooth muscle cell proliferation and
50 inhibition of endothelial growth factors, and at renal level, apart from reducing NO,
51 increases renin values [4] and is associated with interstitial inflammation and
52 microvascular alterations that lead to the development of interstitial fibrosis and afferent
53 arteriopathy that induces vasoreactive hypertension that is salt sensitive [4,5].

54 The urate-anion exchanger (URAT1) responsible for the UA tubular reabsorption and
55 regulation of its blood levels is expressed on the endothelial surface and in the smooth
56 muscle cells of the afferent glomerular arteriole and makes possible a direct intracellular
57 action of uric acid [6].

58 The kidney is responsible for the elimination of 75% of the UA (the rest is excreted
59 through the digestive tract). There are certain factors, which, by themselves or by their

60 renal effects, may alter the excretion of it, such as renal failure (CRF), taking diuretics,
61 hypertension or hyperinsulinemia [7]. The latter produces a 20-30% reduction in the
62 clearance of net and fractional UA, effect seen significantly in patients with insulin
63 resistance, hypertension and obesity [8].

64 Thus, UA is an important risk factor for loss of renal function independently of
65 hypertension [7,9].

66 **OBJECTIVES**

67 The objectives of our study are:

- 68 • To assess baseline of renal handling of UA in hypertensive patients attending to
69 the hypertension and kidney consultation of the Nephrology Department in our
70 hospital, with and without hyperuricemia.
- 71 • To examine whether the different parameters of tubular handling of UA are
72 altered in situations of CRF (CrCl <60 ml/min).
- 73 • To study, in patients with normal renal function, the behaviour of tubular
74 handling of UA parameters in situations of obesity and taking diuretics.

75

76 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

77 This is a descriptive, cross-sectional and retrospective study of hypertensive patients
78 seen in the hypertension and kidney consultation in 2013, whose data was obtained
79 from the medical records review.

80 Demographic and epidemiological characteristics were studied, and the existence of
81 target organ damage and drug treatment received. In addition, fasting blood samples and
82 24-hour urine were obtained.

83 The analytical parameters studied are summarized in the table 1:

84 The LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula. The determination
85 of creatinine, uric acid, and phosphates in urine was performed in a centrifuge analyzer,
86 magnesium was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry and the sodium
87 and potassium were obtained directly by flame photometry.

88 The study was conducted in 4 phases, to avoid interference of possible confounder
89 factors. Thus, in a first step the different parameters that influence the UA renal
90 metabolism of our hypertensive patients, as reflected in the literature were compared
91 with healthy people. In the second step we examined whether the presence of CRF
92 determines differences in the UA metabolism in our patient population. The third and
93 fourth phases consisted in studying, in our group of hypertensive patients with normal
94 renal function, if taking diuretics or obesity would create differences in any of these
95 parameters.

96 Descriptive statistics were performed to characterize the groups and the variables were
97 expressed as median and interquartile range if quantitative and frequencies for
98 qualitative variables.

99 Comparison of groups was performed using non-parametric tests, since the variables
100 studied did not have a normal distribution. The Mann-Whitney test for independent
101 samples was used. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

102 The package SPSS v.20.0 was used for MAC OS 10.6.8 for the statistical treatment of
103 the data.

104 **RESULTS**

105 The first phase of the study was to compare the different parameters of UA renal
106 metabolism of our hypertensive patients ($n=95$) with the information reported in the
107 literature regarding people without hypertension. Results are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

108 Clearance and fractional excretion of UA values are lower than the reference in healthy
109 population (UACl: 8 to 12 ml/min and UAFE: 8-10%). Patients on diuretics have
110 statistically significant higher glucose, creatinine and UA levels ($p < 0.05$), but no
111 estatistically significant differences were observed in UA elimination.

112 In the second stage of our study we aimed to study whether the parameters of excretion
113 of UA are influenced by CRF stage III (CrCl 30 to 60 ml/min), so we divided our
114 population into two groups: hypertensive CRF ($n=22$) and hypertensive patients with
115 normal renal function ($n=73$).

116 The HTA-CRF group has a higher age (74 vs 51 years), increased duration of
117 hypertension (19 vs. 8 years), higher percentage of diabetics (54.5% vs 14%) and

118 increased vascular impact of vascular disease at other levels. In this group there is a
119 higher percentage of patients treated with allopurinol (47.6% vs 19%) and diuretics
120 (91% vs 52%), particularly loop diuretics (77.3% vs 17.3%). These patients also have
121 serum levels of glucose (7,88 vs 5,72 mmol/L) and UA (0,36 vs. 0,3 mmol/L)
122 significantly higher. The UACI is almost half than that in the group of hypertensive
123 patients with normal renal function (3.38 vs 6.16 ml/min), which is a statistically
124 significant result. However, the AUFÉ remains at similar values due to the correction of
125 the renal elimination of UA with the degree of renal function.

126 The other results of the comparison of the groups are shown in Table 4.

127 The third phase of the study was to determine if diuretic treatment affects the renal
128 excretion of UA in hypertensive patients. We selected patients from our population of
129 hypertensive patients who had normal renal function (n=73) and divided them into two
130 groups for comparisons, those taking diuretics in their antihypertensive therapy (n=38)
131 and those without the drug (n=35).

132 Both groups have similar characteristics of age, sex, weight, toxic habits, DM and
133 dyslipidemia.

134 The group of patients treated with diuretics has a longer history of hypertension (11 vs 5
135 years), greater cardiovascular impact (stroke: 5.45% vs 2.9%; left ventricular
136 hypertrophy: 34.2% vs 14.3%) higher percentage of kidney stones (36.8% vs 14,5%);
137 obesity (73.7% vs 25.7%) and patients treated with allopurinol (23.5% vs 13.8%).

138 Table 5 shows the results of the analytical data relating to UA metabolism.

139 In the last phase of our work, we wondered whether obesity affects the renal handling of
140 UA in our population of hypertensive patients. Thus, we selected our hypertensive
141 patients with normal renal function (n=73) and divided them into two groups based on
142 the coexistence of obesity (BMI> 30 kg/m²); the obese hypertensive group consists of
143 37 patients and the hypertensive but not obese group consists of 36 patients.

144 Both groups have similar age, sex and degree of control of blood pressure. The obese
145 group presents a longer HTA history (11 years vs 6), a higher percentage of alcohol
146 consumption (25% vs 2.8%), DM (18.9% vs 8.3%), gout (8.1% vs 2.8%), kidney stones

147 (32.4% vs 19.4%) and greater vascular disease. Table 6 shows the analytical results and
148 the parameters related to renal UA metabolism.

149 **DISCUSSION**

150 UA is the end product of the catabolism of purines (adenine and guanine) in humans.
151 Their low plasma protein binding allows freely glomerular filtering, almost 100% [1],
152 so that proximal tubule is responsible for its disposal through a complex reabsorption,
153 secretion and post-secretory reabsorption mechanism, whose carriers we know from
154 recent years [6].

155 We know the relationship between uric acid and situations such as hypertension [8,9],
156 kidney damage, obesity and diuretics use [5], however it is not clear if the CRF [9] is
157 the cause of the alterations in the renal excretion of UA observed in these cases or
158 simply another feature that takes place at the same time.

159 There is evidence that supports that serum UA levels influence blood pressure by
160 activating the aldosterone-renin-angiotensin II system [9] and increase peripheral
161 vascular resistances, which cause contraction of the afferent arteriole, resulting in loss
162 of the ability of renal autoregulation with intraglomerular hypertension and renal
163 hypoperfusion, which can lead to hypertension, tubulointerstitial inflammation and renal
164 fibrosis [10].

165 Several studies have also shown that in populations with good renal function and
166 hypertension (including pregnancy), the UA level, and in particular its tubular handling
167 can assess in advance the impact or damage the blood pressure itself could be causing,
168 and its study as potential early indicator of acute kidney injury is targeted [11]. UAC
169 therefore may be an earlier indicator of impaired kidney perfusion than CrCl.

170 The results of our study, in an unselected population referred for HTA to an HTA-
171 nephrology consultation, show renal elimination of UA lower than that reported in
172 studies of healthy population. This lower clearance is shown not only in the whole
173 group, but also when we analyzed patients without diuretic therapy or obesity. These
174 results make us wonder if maybe the pathogenic relationship between vascular disease
175 and UA could not be conditional to the handling of uric acid in the kidney and not only
176 for its serum level [12]. However, we should keep in mind that this is a biased

177 population of hypertensive patients since they are patients referred for HTA-nephrology
178 consultation by their primary care general practitioners.

179 CRF significantly reduces renal clearance of UA [4], justifying hyperuricemia that
180 accompany different stages of renal impairment. However, we note that the calculation
181 of the fractional excretion of UA (UAFE) parameter is a simple calculation, which
182 could be useful in both epidemiological studies and therapeutic intervention in patients
183 with cardiovascular risk who often have renal injury as part of the context of target
184 organ involvement [2].

185 We note that the group of patients treated with diuretics has significantly higher values
186 of both glucose and UA, both known side effects to the diuretic therapy. This group also
187 revealed a lower UA renal clearance, although the observed differences were not
188 statistically significant. The volume contraction induced by diuretics helps to reduce
189 renal elimination of it, which was determined "per se" to act on these different
190 transporters of the proximal convoluted tubule [6]. Both thiazide diuretics and the loop
191 diuretics inhibit the NPT4 transporter from the apical membrane of the proximal tubule,
192 which is responsible for the secretion of the UA [13,14].

193 The characterization of the different UA tubular transporters and understanding of the
194 molecular mechanisms of its tubular metabolism could lead to the creation of new
195 diuretics with uricosuric effects [13].

196 Obese patients tend to have a higher incidence of hyperuricemia and kidney stones,
197 certainly in relation to the increased intake and also by reducing the elimination of UA
198 because of hyperinsulinism [15], that often come with obesity. In our study obese
199 patients showed higher levels of UA and although its elimination was significantly
200 higher, by correcting it with the degree of renal function, the differences disappear.
201 There is a demonstrated relationship between hyperuricemia, obesity and metabolic
202 syndrome secondary to widespread diets rich in fructose [16], which is the only
203 carbohydrate known to increase the generation and release of UA [9].

204 **CONCLUSIONS**

205 The high relationship between known vascular risk factors such as hypertension,
206 hyperuricemia, obesity and CRF make difficult to determine a pathogenic role of the
207 UA in clinical and epidemiological studies. Hyperuricemia is relevant to cardio-

208 vascular-renal disease. Our patients did not have hyperuricemia, as were those on
209 treatment with allopurinol to maintain a serum uric acid in range, but we observed a
210 reduced UAFE.

211 The results of our study show that in situations such as CKD and diuretic therapy, renal
212 excretion of uric acid is reduced but also in patients with hypertension and normal renal
213 function who are not on diuretics, renal elimination is also reduced in relation to the
214 data published in the healthy population. Thus we must ask whether this reduction in
215 renal clearance of UA could be the pathogenic basis for many forms of essential
216 hypertension or whether, by contrast, is the translation of the harmful impact of
217 hypertension in the kidney.

218 Unable to extract relevant conclusions of univariate studies, given the interference of
219 various factors including retrospective studies, we want to draw attention to the study of
220 tubular handling of uric acid. The study of the fractional excretion of uric acid in the
221 context of HTA from early stages and follow along with BP control and hipotensive
222 medication could provide information on renal perfusion.

223 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 224 1. Lam C, Lim CK, Kang DH, Karumanchi SA. Uric acid and preeclampsia. *Semin*
225 *Nephrol* 2005; 25(1):56-60.
- 226 2. Bellomo G, Venanzi S, Verdura C, Saronio P, Esposito A, Timio M. Association of
227 uric acid with change in kidney function in healthy normotensive individuals. *Am J*
228 *Kidney Dis* 2010; 56 (2): 264-272.
- 229 3. Kang DH, Nakagawa T. Uric acid and chronic renal disease: possible implication of
230 hiperuricemia on progression of renal disease. *Semin Nephrol*, 2005; 25(1)43-49.
- 231 4. Beck L. Requiem for gouty nephropaty. *Kidney Int* 1986; 30(2):280-287.
- 232 5. Taniguchi A, Kamatani N. Control of renal uric acid excretion and gout. *Curr Opin*
233 *Rheumatol*, 2008; 20(2):192-197.
- 234 6. Enomto A, Kimura H, Chairoungdua A. Molecular identification of a renal urate-anion
235 exchanger regulates blood urate levels. *Nature*, 2002; 417(6887):447-452.

- 236 7. Feig D.I, Kang D.H, Jonson R.J, Uric acid and cardiovascular risk. *N Eng J Med*
237 2008; 359: 1811-1821.
- 238 8. Gibson TJ. Hypertension, its treatment, Hyperuricaemia and gout. *Curr Opin*
239 *Rheumatol.* 2013 Mar;25(2):217-22.
- 240 9. Mazzali M, Kanellis J, Han L, Feng L, Xia YY, Chen Q, Kang DH, Gordon KL,
241 Watanabe S, Nakagawa T, Lan HY, Johnson RJ. Hyperuricemia induces a primary renal
242 arteriopathy in rats by a blood pressure-independent mechanism. *Am J, Physiol renal*
243 *Physiol* 2002; 282: F991—F997.
- 244 10. Corry BD, Eslami P, Yamamoto K, Nyby MD, Makino H, Tuck ML. Uric acid
245 stimulates vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and oxidative stress via the
246 vascular rennin-angiotensin system *J. Hypertensi* 2008; 26:269-275.
- 247 11. Franco M, Tapia E, Santamaria J, Zafra I, García-Torres R, Gordon KL, Pons H,
248 Rodríguez-Iturbe B, Johnson RJ, Herrera-Acosta J. Renal cortical vasoconstriction
249 contributes to development of SALT-sensitive hypertension after angiotensin II
250 exposure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2001; 12:2263-2271.
- 251 12. Quiñones Galvan A, Natali A, Balde S, Frascerra S, Sanna G, Ciociaro D,
252 Ferranninia E. Effect of insulin on uric acid excretion in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1995;
253 268: E1-E5
- 254 13. Yu T, Berger L, Sarkozi L, Kaung C. Effects of diuretics on urate and calcium
255 excretion. *Arch Intern Med* 1981;141:915-919.
- 256 14. Lipkowitz MS. Regulation of uric acid excretion by the kidney. *Curr Rheumatol*
257 *Rep.* 2012 Apr;14(2):179-88.
- 258 15. Vourinen-Markkola H, Yki-Jarvinen H. Hyperuricemia and insulin resistance. *J*
259 *Clin Endrocrinol Metab* 1994; 78: 25-29.
- 260 16. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH: Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US
261 adults: Findings from the third national health and nutrition examination survey *JAMA,*
262 2000: 16:356-359.

264 **Table 1:** Analytical parameters studied
265

PARAMETER		
Glucose (mmol/L)	Urea (mmol/L)	Creatinine (umol/L)
Sodium (mmol/L)	Potassium (mmol/L)	Calcium (mmol/L)
Phosphorus (mmol/L)	Magnesium (mmol/L)	Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)
HDL (mmol/L)	LDL (mmol/L)	Triglycerides (mmol/L)
HbA1c (%)	C-reactive protein (mmol/L)	Homocysteine (mmol/L)
Uric Acid (mmol/L)	CrCl (ml/min)	UACI (ml/min)
Microalbuminuria (mg/g)	UAEF (%)	NaEF (%)
UAEF/NaFE	Protein Index/Creatinine	UA Daily Disposal (g/day)

266 **Table 2:** Demographic variables and vascular risk results
267

VARIABLE	RESULT
Age	55 (44 -73)
Male/female	52 (54.7%)/42 (45.3% postmenopausal)
HTA evolution	10 (4 -20)
Smokers/non smokers/ex-smokers	20.4%/69.9%/9.7%
Alcoholism	12.8%
Obesity (BMI)	47.7% [BMI 30.32 (26.69 to 34.23)]
DM	22.1%
Hypercholesterolemia	49.5%
Hypertriglyceridemia	18.9%
Gout attack	7.4%
Stroke	3.2%
Left ventricular hypertrophy	29.5%
CRF (CrCl <60 ml/min)	23.2%
Kidney stone	26.3%
Antihypertensive treatment	92.6%
Diuretics	61.1%
Calcium antagonists	46.8%
ACE inhibitors	18.1%
AIIR antagonists	57.4%
Beta-blockers	33.7%
Alpha-blockers	9.8%
Other vasodilators	2.2%

268
269
270
271
272

273 **Table 3:** Median and interquartile range of conventional analytical determinations

VARIABLE	RESULT
Glucose	5,99 (5,38-7,16)
Urea	3,62 (2,83-5,48)
UA	0,5 (0,35-0,6)
Creatinine	80 (70-120)
Calcium	2,4 (2,3-2,48)
Phosphorus	1,1 (0,97-1,23)
Magnesium	0,9 (0,7-0,9)
Total cholesterol/HDL/LDL	4,9 (4,4-5,6)/1,2 (1,1-1,5)/2,9 (2,4-3,6)
Triglycerides	1,3 (0,9-2)
Sodium	139 (138-141)
Potassium	4.4 (4-4.6)
CRP	0,02 (0.01-0.03)
Homocysteine	0,74 (0.54-0.97)
HbA1c	5.7 (5.4-6.2)
CrCl	83.34 (59-127)
UA elimination	0.48 (0.36-0.64)
UACI	5.56 (3.74-7.67)
UAEF	6.65 (5.04-8.17)
NaFE	0.99 (0.62-1.42)
UAFE/NaFE	6.73 (4.26-11.08)

274
275

276 **Table 4:** UA metabolism parameters depending on renal function

VARIABLE	CrCl GROUP > 60 ml/min (n = 73)	CRF GROUP (n = 22)
Glucose (p <0.05)	5,72 (5,38-6,33)	7,88 (5,77-8,88)
UA (p <0.05)	0,3 (0,24-0,36)	0,36 (0,24-0,47)
CrCl	102 (78-134.4)	46.4 (41-54.7)
Microalbuminuria	9.7 (6.5-27)	47.25 (17.36-264.5)
Protein/Creatinine	0.08 (0.06-0.15)	0.29 (0.14-0.73)
UACI	6.16 (4.8-8.3)	3.38 (2.4-4.8)
UAFE	6.4 (4.9-8.1)	7 (5.9-8.7)
UA elimination	0.52 (0.37-0.68)	0.37 (0.2-0.45)
NaFE	0.82 (0.55-1.26)	1.57 (1.1-2.2)
UAFE/NaFE	7.7 (4.8-11.8)	3.69 (3-7.4)

277

278
279

Table 5: Analytical results based on taking diuretics

VARIABLE	NON DIURETIC GROUP (n=35)	DIURETIC GROUP (n = 38)
Glucose (p <0.05)	5,55 (5,27-5,55)	6,05 (5,58-7,07)
UA (p <0.05)	0,27 (0,22-0,34)	0,31 (0,28-0,36)
CrCl	106.8 (81.6-137.4)	96.7 (74.5-133.6)
UACI	6.45 (5.15-8.54)	6.1 (4.4-8.12)
UAFE	6.73 (5.15-8.23)	6.14 (4.55-8.1)
UA elimination	0.56 (0.4-0.7)	0.49 (0.4-0.7)
NaFE	0.8 (0.44-1.15)	0.93 (0.67-1.3)
UAFE/NaFE	8.5 (5.9-14.4)	6.7 (4-11.3)

280
281

Table 6: Analytical results based on the coexistence of obesity

VARIABLE	NON OBESITY GROUP (n = 36)	OBESITY GROUP (n = 37)
UA (p <0.05)	0,27 (0,22-0,33)	0,33 (0,28-0,38)
CrCl	88.1 (68.6-122.4)	118.3 (83-137.7)
Microalbuminuria	8 (5.6-26.8)	9.96 (7.4-31.8)
UACI	6.09 (4.42-7.21)	6.84 (5.25-10.9)
UAFE	6.67 (4.95-8.17)	6.1 (4.5-7.9)
UA elimination (p <0.05)	0.46 (0.3-0.6)	0.62 (0.48-0.76)
NaFE	0.87 (0.5-1.3)	0.82 (0.56-1.26)
UAFE/NaFE	7.86 (5.8-10.7)	7.4 (4.5-12.8)

282
283
284