



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_13344
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Lumbar Puncture in Elderly Patients Presented with Acute Confusional State
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Novelty of the manuscript is not perceived The study has ethical concerns The manuscript has a plenty of grammatical errors</p> <p>The ethical concerns have not been coded in the text</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript should be rewritten</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Iran