



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_13344
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effectiveness of Lumbar Puncture in Elderly Patients Presented with Acute Confusional State</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Needs major revision.</b>  <b>The hypothesis is not very clear. Authors need to justify why LP is required for non CSF related causes as electrolyte disturbances.</b>                      Why LP is indicated in patients with electrolyte disturbances?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The study does not appear to have valid research questions as election of patients is not appropriate and have not been justified.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakesh Garg</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India</b>