



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_15607
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A STEP FURTHER IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN URIC ACID AND VASCULAR RISK: TUBULAR HANDLING OF URIC ACID IN HYPERTENSION STUDY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Authors presented a well structured and good written manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Authors should add ethical comments in the manuscript. For retrospective studies calculation of the statistical power is required. Please, add formula in the text of paper. Study limitations should be extended	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alexander Berezin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Internal Medicine Department, State Medical University, Zaporozhye, Ukraine</b>