



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_15607
Title of the Manuscript:	A STEP FURTHER IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN URIC ACID AND VASCULAR RISK: TUBULAR HANDLING OF URIC ACID IN HYPERTENSION STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion, compulsory changes are not required.	
Minor REVISION comments	In my opinion, minor changes are not required.	
Optional/General comments	Unify the bibliographic references (915-919 or 915-19)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Díaz Negrillo
Department, University & Country	Clínical Neurophysiology Unit, Infanta Elena Hospital, Madrid, Spain