



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17582
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Immediate Adverse Reactions and Anaphylaxis associated with gadolinium-based contrast agents in a patient with meningioma: case report and literature review</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This case report is well written and educative with comprehensive reviews about the side effects of MR contrast media.</p> <p>However, introduction and discussion are a little long and the content is a little overlapped. They should be more concisely written.</p> <p>Why did not the patient or her family talked to the doctors or the other medical staffs about the previous side effects by MRI contrast?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	None	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nagoya City East Medical Center, Japan</b>