



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_19161</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Idiopathic necrotizing scleritis, anterior uveitis, and localized retinal detachment</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is an interesting case of necrotizing granulomatous vasculitis of the sclera, without any other systemic signs. Did the PCR test be used in order to assess the eventual infective etiology ? Did immunohistochemical test be performed with the bioptical sample ?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The clinical approach to the case is sharable; indeed, I have some doubts as regards the high dosage of iv steroids administered in comparison of the clinical picture, at the beginning. May Authors discuss their therapeutic choice ? Authors described that the clinical picture did not improved 1 month after the beginning of treatment. But, did a transient improvement be observed just after the steroid boli? Interpunction presents errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy</b>