



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_19334</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison of Buprenorphine and Bupropion in the treatment of Methamphetamine dependency and Craving</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Novel and interesting</b></p> <p>The introduction requires more scientific background to justify the use of either bupropion and/or buprenorphine.</p> <p>The scale used to measure craving, also needs at least 1 reference to prove it is usable in this population.</p> <p>Must explain why buprenorphine was given bid, when it is prescribed q day.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Requires a lot of corrections in writing style/grammar.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>USA</b>