



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19334
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Buprenorphine and Bupropion in the treatment of Methamphetamine dependency and Craving
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- What is the justification of treatment in the first time by buprenorphine and in the second time by bupropion only?</p> <p>2- Was the clinical improvement in the first time related to buprenorphine antagonistic effect to Heroin (morphine?!)</p> <p>3- Why bupropion and not buprenorphine was given in the second time? (only methamphetamine was taken)</p> <p>4- What is the mechanism of improvement of the condition in the presence of buprenorphine (methamphetamine + heroin) compared with Bupropion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Assiut University, Egypt