



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | <u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BJMMR_19334 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparison of Buprenorphine and Bupropion in the treatment of Methamphetamine dependency and Craving |
| Type of the Article | Case Study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | Results seem to be interesting: the efficacy of buprenorphine results higher than bupropion. Because authors declare a new method to use this drug, they should explore deeply the common use of buprenorphine, adding more references about and better specifying where their methodology is more useful than the others. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Anonymous |
| Department, University & Country | Sassari University, Italy |