



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19611
Title of the Manuscript:	Scorpion Sting and Acute Kidney Injury: Case Series from Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors summarize the kidney injury by scorpion sting. This is an interesting paper. Most of the patients were suffered from acute renal failure and rhabdomyolysis may be the cause because of high levels of serum LDH and CK. Therefore, the authors should show the picture of urine if they have or describe the color of patients' urine. The authors describe positive staining of pigment cast for myoglobin in Fig 3B. I think positive staining is observed in tubular cells and probably vessel walls of interstitium. The authors should show the better picture or emphasize the staining of pigment cast by using arrow.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Hirosaki University, Japan