



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19837
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical and MDCT Findings of Symptomatic Right Aortic Arch and Double Aortic Arch:Two Cases
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Between lines 45-46 left carotid artery, common branch (left innominate artery) and right carotid artery and the aortic arch were mentioned. These artery branches need to be shown and marked on the figures as well for the reader to understand the anomalies better</p> <p>Line 66_- four artery sign: please mark on the images which arteries are which.</p> <p>Please iif possible nclude the Chest X ray images of the patients as well. This makes the case more explanatory and readers would cross relate X images to MDCT.</p> <p>Since both patients were children please include the approximate CT doses for each of studies in the reports section and provide the, slice thickness and KVP for the study.</p> <p>Line 112, line 119 MRG→ MRI? Line 113 plans→ planes?</p> <p>Please include in discussion what imaging parameters are needed to obtain good MDCT images in pediatric patients. What should be the ideal slice thickness and Kvp</p> <p>When a vascular ring is detected what other</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>anomalies should be also sought in imaging elsewhere (like cardiac anomalies and aneurysms?) Are there any associated syndromes that the doctors should be aware of when a diagnosis of a vascular rign is made.</p> <p>While discussing disadvantages of MRI please include that in patients that need airway assistance the instrumets need to be MRI suitable whereas in CT imaging such concerns are not needed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 58, MDCT of the angiography of the aortic arch was taken due to a suspected vascular ring is better english.</p> <p>. Line 60- Rather than using it was detected,--- better wording would be; ---,,Double aortic arch, four artery sign (figure 2) and compression to the trachea were detected in the images.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Evren Ustuner
Department, University & Country	Radiology Department, Ankara University, Turkey