



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19837
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical and MDCT Findings of Symptomatic Right Aortic Arch and Double Aortic Arch:Two Cases
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I thing I have reviewed this paper before and had recommended the inclusion of CCTA images, as well as comprehensive improvement of the language. The first have been done, the second have not.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Acceptable set of 2 clinical cases with demonstrative images	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesus Peteiro
Department, University & Country	Universitario de A Coruña (CHUAC), Spain