



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u><a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a></u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_20238</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Interleukin 8: Changes in Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is well written and describes a fascinating prospective study. It has several strengths including the use of a control group that was matched on relevant variables and they established baseline measurements of IL-8.</p> <p>This manuscript is very good, but has some areas of weakness. For example, the authors make brief mention of the most relevant study looking at IL-8, but they really should describe that study in detail. They should also describe other related studies to further develop the introduction. This helps make the case for this study and will be informative in comparing and contrasting results and plausible explanations for differential findings.</p> <p>Additionally, the inflammatory cascade is complex and it would have been a better study had the authors included other cytokine and outcome variables (CRP, IL-6 and others) in the collected data.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	Also, the authors mention that they found statically significant group difference in IL-8. The next step is to determine if the group difference were of a sufficient magnitude to be clinically meaningful. The authors should address these factors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Robert Perna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Texas Institute of Rehabilitation Research, TX, USA</b>