



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_20267
Title of the Manuscript:	Deferred Stenting as an alternative strategy for management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction with significant thrombus burden
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This study has many limitations as authors indicated some of them, notwithstanding this paper yields some arguments about a new sight.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Dicle University Medicine Faculty, Turkey