Case Study

CHASING COMPLICATIONS OF BALLOON MITRAL VALVOTOMY

ABSTRACT

Percutaneous balloon mitral valvotomy (BMV) done for mitral stenosis (MS) though largely improved nowadays can be followed by multiple and rare procedure related complications. We present an interesting case of a 45 year old male with symptomatic severe mitral stenosis of rheumatic origin. Ultimately though he underwent a successful BMV it was ridden with multiple rare procedure related complications. Intra procedural perforation of left atrium with hemopericardium and pericardial tamponade occured in the first attempt at PBMV while rupture of BMV balloon, multiple clots in left atrium (LA) after the procedure and a large pericardial effusion occurred in the second albeit successful attempt. To the best of our knowledge this is the first case to report multiple LA clots post BMV.
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INTRODUCTION:
Rheumatic heart disease (RHD) though rare in the developed countries continues to be highly prevalent in the developing nations. In the spectrum of rheumatic heart disease the most commonly affected valve is the mitral valve with BMV being the most commonly done percutaneous procedure for mitral stenosis (MS).\(^1\)

Over the last few decades the skill of the performing cardiologist as well as the techniques employed in BMV have improved vastly, thus greatly reducing the complications associated with it.

Yet no procedure is free of complications. Our case is one such where BMV done for symptomatic severe rheumatic MS was followed by multiple and rare complications.

CASE REPORT:
A 45 year old Indian male presented with gradually progressive shortness of breath and exertional palpitation of 3 years duration. Over the last 3 years his shortness of breath and palpitations had worsened to NYHA class II. He had no other complaints and had an insignificant past medical and family history.

On examination he was found to have a regular heart rate of 70/min and blood pressure was 100/70 mm of Hg. Cardiac examination revealed a tapping apical impulse in the fifth intercostal space medial to mid clavicular line and on auscultation a loud first heart sound was heard at the apex. There was an opening snap (OS) followed by a long low pitched rumbling mid diastolic murmur with pre-systolic
accentuation and a narrow A2- OS interval. Other systemic examination was within normal limits. An
electrocardiogram done on arrival showed sinus rhythm with evidence of left atrial enlargement.
Transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) done showed severe valvular MS with valve area of 0.8cm², no
mitral regurgitation and a Wilkin's score of 7. A diagnosis of RHD with severe MS was established. He
was started on beta blockers and diuretics and a few days later he was admitted for BMV.

His procedural stay in the hospital was uneventful with routine haematology and biochemistry
investigations being in normal range. A transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) performed a day
before the procedure showed no intracardiac thrombus (Figure 1). While performing the procedure
the next day, after interatrial septal puncture on attempting to cross the mitral valve there occurred
iatrogenic left atrial perforation with development of pericardial effusion and cardiac tamponade
manifesting clinically as hypotension. This called for an abandonment of the procedure and immediate
pericardiocentesis was done with removal of 150 ml of bright red blood and a pericardial pigtail
catheter was left in situ. He remained clinically stable after the pericardiocentesis with serial
echocardiograms showing no further increase in pericardial fluid. After 2 days of observation the
pigtail catheter was removed. He was closely observed and was discharged after 5 days with 2D
echocardiogram showing mild pericardial effusion.
Figure 1: Transesophageal imaging of the patient at zero degree showing no clot in Left atrium/atrial appendage.

Two weeks later he was readmitted for a second attempt at BMV. TTE done before the procedure revealed mild pericardial effusion posterior to LA in parasternal long axis view (no increase in size compared to last echocardiogram) with no evidence of any clot in LA. He continued to be in sinus rhythm. The next day he was taken up for BMV and intra procedurally 1500 units of unfractionated heparin (UFH) was administered initially at the time of sheath insertion with a second bolus of 3500 U (70 u/kg) being given after interatrial septal puncture. During the procedure while inflating mitral valvotomy balloon to dilate the mitral valve the balloon failed to dilate proximally secondary to a tear at the proximal end of the inner layer of the balloon. Therefore this balloon was retrieved and the procedure was continued using a new 24 mm balloon with significant decline in left atrial pressure from 22 to 8 mm Hg after single inflation with 22 cubic centimetres of saline. Periprocedural echocardiography done showed an increase in the mitral valve orifice area from 0.8 cm$^2$ to 1.7 cm$^2$ accompanied by a significant decline in gradient across the mitral valve with no suggestion of
clinically significant iatrogenic mitral regurgitation or any clots in LA. He continued to remain clinically stable after the procedure.

TTE performed next day showed mobile clot on the left atrial side of the interatrial septum with a second clot visualised near left atrial appendage (Figure 2 and 3). A possibility of endothelial trauma leading to clot formation was considered and the patient was started on UFH and oral anticoagulation with Acenocoumarol. He was discharged after achievement of target prothrombin time (PT).

![Figure 2: Apical four chamber view showing clots on interatrial septum towards LA and near left atrial appendage.](image-url)
A week later he continued to remain unremarkable, his coagulation parameters showed a prothrombin time of 30.7 seconds with an international normalized ratio of 2.15. Review TTE done showed resolution of both the clots. However, he had now developed a large pericardial effusion with no signs of pericardial tamponade (Figure 4). There was no drop in his haemoglobin levels, total leucocyte and platelet counts were normal and ESR was mildly elevated (39 mm/hr). His other laboratory parameters continued to remain normal.
In view of the large pericardial effusion he was readmitted, fresh frozen plasma was administered and Acenocoumarol was withheld. Pericardiocentesis was not considered as he was clinically stable with no hemodynamic compromise. Serial TTE done showed a decrease in pericardial effusion and hence a decision to discharge him was taken after one week of close observation. He was discharged on beta blockers and Acenocoumarol was stopped. A month later he continued to be in good health and TTE performed showed mild pericardial effusion with no clot.

DISCUSSION:

RHD continues to remain a major cardiac problem with a prevalence rate of 4.54/1000 persons in India. With the advent of BMV, management options have dramatically improved. BMV has shown equal or better success rates and comparable restenosis rates in comparison to surgical mitral commissurotomy.

Most of the complications of BMV have been noted to occur during the procedure i.e., while performing interatrial septal puncture, manipulating BMV balloon in the LA or while inflating the
balloon during commissurotomy. Hemopericardium is the most common serious complication with an incidence of up to 2%. Mortality with hemopericardium is rare with prompt recognition and immediate pericardial drainage either by pericardiocentesis or surgical pericardiotomy. In our case LA rupture was recognized and managed early with pericardiocentesis.

Rupture of valvotomy balloons has been described and is more common with used balloons. Clot at interatrial septum following BMV is an extremely rare occurrence with very few case reports having been described in literature. To the best of our knowledge our case is the first case to describe formation of clot at multiple sites in LA namely at the LA side of interatrial septum and near LA appendage, possibly due to endothelial injury sustained while manipulating the hardware in LA. In our case patient developed multiple clots in LA despite adequately anticoagulating the patient during the procedure. As the procedure time was short (around 20 minutes) activated clotting time was not monitored.

The development of pericardial effusion 10 days after the procedure could be due to an incompletely healed LA rent which could have led to the development of a gradually increasing pericardial effusion after starting the patient on anticoagulation.

CONCLUSION:

Left atrial perforation during BMV is a potentially lethal complication. Proper technique of septal puncture and gentle manipulation of hardware in LA can prevent this complication. Patients developing LA perforation should be followed up closely and repeat attempt, after failed initial procedure, should be undertaken only after allowing the rent in LA to heal adequately. Intracardiac clot formation during the procedure, although rare, may still occur despite anticoagulating the patient with heparin during the procedure. From our experience we suggest that ACT should always be checked and maintained adequately in all patients undergoing BMV.
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