



**SDI Review Form 1.5**

**PART A:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS: 2012/IJTDH/1750</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Under nutrition as predictor of hypertension among adult tribal males of India</b>

*(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)*



**SDI Review Form 1.5**

**PART B: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)</b>
<b>General comment:</b>	<b>This is a well written and good manuscript which deserves publication in your journal.</b>	
<b>Specific comments:</b>	Given below.	
<b>Title and abstract</b>	Appropriate	
<b>Introduction</b> (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	Yes	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	Yes. The authors have done extensive search and all relevant references are properly cited.	
<b>Materials &amp; methods</b> (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	Good methods adopted.	
<b>Results &amp; discussion</b> (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	The authors have done excellent work and analysis. The results are well presented in text and tables. The statistical methods are appropriate.	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	No	
<b>Conclusion</b> (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	Based upon findings	
References	Comprehensive and the most appropriate ones.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dr Chandrakant Lahariya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Public Health, New Delhi, India</b>