



**SDI Review Form 1.5**

**PART A:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS: 2012/IJTDH/1750</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Under nutrition as predictor of hypertension among adult tribal males of India</b>

*(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)*



**SDI Review Form 1.5**

**PART B: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
<b>General comment:</b>	Additional variables related to hypertension are needed to give such statements as "Under nutrition as predictor of hypertension among adult tribal males of India".	
<b>Specific comments:</b>	Analysis should be done in each tribal community rather than to considered as a single population	
<b>Title and abstract</b>	Should be changed according to the revision	
<b>Introduction</b> (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	Need more focus and write only relevant portion related to hypertension and its causes	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	No. Please see this article as "Rohini Ghosh. 2007. Microlevel determinants of blood pressure among women of two ethnic groups in a periurban area of Kolkata city, India. American Journal of Human Biology, 19: 409-415."	
<b>Materials &amp; methods</b> (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	Duration of the study should be mentioned. Materials and methods should be re-written in details.	
<b>Results &amp; discussion</b> (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	Along with general and specific comments, author may be performed step-wise logistic regression. The data of mental stress may be the most important variables in tribal community related to hypertension.	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	Please mention the name of ethical clearance committee.	
<b>Conclusion</b> (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented	Should be revised	



**SDI Review Form 1.5**

inside the manuscript only)		
References	Incorporate other studies related to hypertension in Indian tribal communities.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Premananda Bharati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Biological Anthropology Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata, India</b>